



APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/523,619

3/13/02

G. Shimura

150413.001

EXAMINER
----------

C. Shoshko

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

114

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Callie Shoshko (3)  
(2) David ~~McConaghey~~ (24,738) (4)

Date of Interview 1/11/02

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached ☐ was not reached

Claim(s) discussed: all - generally

Identification of prior art discussed: Yates (3,053,623)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants proposed amending claim 3 to include that the glass flake is coated with metal and later to discuss Sullivan et al. and Bates et al. Applicants also argued that Yates does not anticipate the subject matter of the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

David M. McConaghey  
FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 10/95)

Callie Shoshko 1/11/02

does not recognize the glass flake fragments can be used in background of prior art there is no instruction to combine this with the Yates reference